UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,304	06/08/2006	Spiros Gratsias	011348-0021-999	5133	
20583 JONES DAY	7590 03/28/201	1	EXAMINER		
222 EAST 41S'			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU		
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/28/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The SKY (100 MC) LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The SKY (100 MC) The free reliting date of the communication.  If NO period for right is specified above, the mailing shell of the state of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of the communication.  If NO period for right is specified above, the mailing shell of the mailing case of the communication, seen if timely filed, may reduce any search pattern adjustment. See 37 GPH 1.704(b).  Status  A) A Claim(s) J4-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  3b) Claim(s) J4-35		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If No period or reply is questioned and of the communication	Office Action Comments	10/596,304	GRATSIAS ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **Exercisor of the map to a solidate under the processor ad JOPE 1, 1366, in no event, however, may rarely the time from the reliang date of the communication.  **STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**  **Faller's to legal with the end or earlied period to replay the 5 placehous beauer ARMONOME (50) LSC, 9 130, Any reply received by the CTIca lade than these northe after the mailing case of this communication, even if timely field, may reclude any earlied period to replay the 5 placehous beauer ARMONOME (50) LSC, 9 130, Any reply received by the CTIca lade than the enter enorthe after the mailing case of this communication, even if timely field, may reclude any earlied period to replay the state of the communication, even if timely field, may reclude any earlied and the field of the communication and period to the communication and the field of the communication.  **STATE**  **PRESCRIPTION**  **PRESCR	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - short SIX (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period rough is specified on the nominal analyse price with six (6) (MCN) THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fair for six (6) MCN THE for six (7) MCN THE for							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Endealized in the ray be varieble under the procession of 97 cFH 1.18(b), into event, however, may aneph be direly be dealized in the ray be an expected in the communication of 14 to 15 to 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
This action is FINAL.   2b  This action is non-final.   3c  This action is possible to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2011.   3c  This action is FINAL.   2b  This action is non-final.   3c  This action is application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 14-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 14-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuarv 2011.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims	<u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	/ <del>_</del>	· <del></del>					
Al Claim(s) 14-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	,						
4) Claim(s) 14-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-982) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Char:	· ·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Cether:	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  Foot Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  Paper No(s) Mail Date	Application Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Chart	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Taper No(s) Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Ivail Date 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Cther:	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Nail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Cther:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Ivail Date 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Cther:	Add at mount (a)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Vail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Cther:							
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s) Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/596,304 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **Detailed Action**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicants' submission filed on December 29, 2010 has been entered.

## Claims Rejection – 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 14-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schachter (U.S. Patent No. 4,998,347) in view of King et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,167,625) and Brown, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0208907).

Schachter shows a shaving system (10) comprising at least one blade (14), a platform (16) and a stainless steel guard bar (12, see column 5, lines 22-23) in the shape of a longitudinal body with a substantially circular cross-section (see Fig.1) and

Art Unit: 3724

having two ends (see Fig.4, not labeled) and positioned forward of the at least one blade (14) and parallel to the blade cutting edge (see Fig.1), wherein an elongated space (28, Figs.1 and 4) is provided between the guard bar (12) and the platform (16), wherein the guard bar (12) is preformed before assembling to the platform (see column 2, lines 42-52) substantially as claimed except the guard bar (12) is not of the claimed type such that it is parallel to the blade cutting edge "along its entire length", and Schachter does not explicitly mention the guard bar (12) is assembled onto the platform (16) by molding process.

However, it is well known in the shaving art to have the guard bar of a wet shaver parallel to the blade cutting edge along its entire length as evidenced by King et al. (see Fig.1, guard bar 11 parallel to blade cutting edge 12/14 along its entire length).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time this invention was made to modify Schachter by having the guard bar (12) of the type that is parallel to the blade cutting edge along its entire length for the predictable result of gliding the shaver along a skin during shaving operation.

Also, Brown et al. teach assembling two elements (in this case, a blade and a razor housing) by having at least part of one element (i.e. razor housing 16) overmold two end portions of the other element (i.e. blade 18) to secure the two elements (16,18) together by only the end portions of the other element (blade 18, see Fig.5 and lines 2-3 of the abstract). This is done by positioning the other element (blade 18) in a mold cavity (112) and molding at least part of the one element (housing 16) by injecting plastic in the mold cavity (112), and wherein the at least part of the one element

Art Unit: 3724

(housing 16) is molded over the two end portions of the other element (18) during the molding process.

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to further modify Schachter by assembling the guard bar (12) and the platform (16) together by means of a commercially available method such as the injection molding of Brown, Jr. et al. to facilitate quick and massive production.

Regarding claims 18, 24 and 31, while Schachter does not explicitly mention the guard bar (12) being made of wood, however, the claimed wood material is not patentably distinct over Schachter, since applicants have not specifically pointed out the criticality of using the claimed wood material for the guard bar, but have disclosed it as an example of various materials that may be used. Further, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 14, 20, 26 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 3. unpatentable over Brown, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0208907).

Brown, Jr. et al. discloses a process for the manufacture of a wet shaving system (10) comprising at least one blade (18), a platform (16), and a guard bar (20) having two ends and positioned forward of the at least one blade (18) and parallel to the blade cutting edge (see Fig.1), the process comprising the step of:

Application/Control Number: 10/596,304

providing a guard bar (20) having a longitudinal body having two ends, wherein the guard bar (20) is parallel to the blade cutting edge along its entire length; and molding the guard bar (20) to the platform (16, see paragraph [0038], lines 1-2) substantially as claimed except Brown, Jr. et al. do not explicitly mention the guard bar (20) is assembled onto the platform (16) by positioning the guard bar (20) in a mold cavity for the platform (16), and molding at least part of the platform over the ends of the guard bar (20) during the process of molding the platform (16).

However, Brown, Jr. et al. does teach overmolding by positioning a blade (18) in a mold cavity (112) and molding at least part of the platform (16) by injecting plastic in the mold cavity (112), and wherein the at least part of the platform (16) is molded over two end portions of the blade (18) during the molding process to secure the platform (16) and the blade (18) together by only the end portions of the blade (18, see Fig.5 and lines 2-3 of the abstract)..

Thus, to have at least part of the platform (16) of Brown, Jr. et al. molded over the ends of the guard bar (20) during the process of molding the platform (16) to facilitate quick and massive production would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

4. Claims 15-19, 21-25 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0208907) in view of Schachter (U.S. Patent No. 4,998,347).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,304 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

Brown, Jr. et al. as modified above shows all the claimed limitation except the guard bar (20) is made of elastomeric material (see paragraph [0038], line 5) rather than metal, and the guard bar (20) is not of the claimed circular shape in cross section.

However, it is notoriously old and well known in the art to form a razor guard bar out of stainless steel metal material, and wherein the guard bar has a circular cross-section as evidenced by Schachter (see guard bar 12 in Fig.1 and column 5, lines 22-23 for the stainless steel material).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time this invention was made to further modify Brown, Jr. et al. by having the guard bar (20) made of well known and commercially available material such as Schachter's stainless steel and to have the cross-section of the guard bar (20) shape like a circular to facilitate gliding during shaving as taught by Schachter.

Regarding claims 18, 24 and 31, Brown, Jr. et al. as modified above does not shows the guard bar (20) being made of wood. However, the claimed wood material is not patentably distinct over Brown, Jr. et al. as modified, since applicants have not specifically pointed out the criticality of using the claimed wood material for the guard bar, but have disclosed it as an example of various materials that may be used, therefore, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

## **Point of Contact**

Application/Control Number: 10/596,304 Page 7

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H Payer March 24, 2011

/Hwei-Siu C. Payer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724 Application/Control Number: 10/596,304

Page 8

Art Unit: 3724